

2026 Legislative Priority Proposal Updated: 9/15/25

Sustainable Aviation Fuel Tax Credit		
Pitkin County (Commissioner Greg Poschman)		
Preferred Contact:	greg.poschman@pitkincounty.com	
Co-Sponsoring	N/A	
Counties/Commissioners:		
Who is your subject	Levi Borst - Management Analyst - Pitkin County - 970.309.2330 -	
matter expert?	levi.borst@pitkincounty.com	
Has this proposal been	Yes.	
approved by your BoCC?		
Have you reviewed the	Yes.	
CCI Instructional Memo?		
Describe the problem	Colorado should consider a program providing a tax credit for either the	
your proposal will solve.	production of or consumption of Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF).	
Areas of Impact:	Functionality of county programs or services; General community	
	advancement; Local/Regional/Statewide economic development.	
What is the ultimate	Cost & availability of SAF.	
source of this problem?		
What is your initial	Support new legislation, or amendments to existing legislation, which	
proposal to solve this	would provide tax credit incentives for the production/consumption of SAF	
problem?	designed to be competitive with other states.	
Please provide sample	From July 1, 2027, through December 31, 2038, sustainable aviation fuel	
language for this	(SAF) sold to or used by an air common carrier, certified by the carrier to be	
solution.	used in Colorado, earns a Sustainable Aviation Fuel Purchase Credit	
	(SAFPC) in the amount of \$1.50 per whole gallon of SAF purchased. Only	
	that portion of each gallon of aviation fuel that consists of SAF, as defined	
	in Section XYZ, is eligible to earn the credit.	
	For a sale or use of aviation fuel to qualify to earn SAFPC, taxpayers must	
	retain in their books and records a completed copy of Producer Certification	
	of Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) from the producer of the aviation fuel	
	that the aviation fuel sold or used and for which SAFPC was earned meets	
	the definition of sustainable aviation fuel.	
	Purchasers must complete a Sustainable Aviation Fuel Purchase Credit	
	Certification, to certify SAFPC used on a qualifying purchase.	

Are there any solutions that do not require state-level legislation? Has your county explored these alternatives?	There is no other mechanism for a tax credit incentive.
Has CCI or any other organizations sought a solution to this problem before?	No.
What possible organization(s) would <i>support</i> your proposed solution? What possible organization(s) would <i>oppose</i> your proposed solution?	Airlines with SAF transition goals. Private industry / refineries. Other communities looking to support economic growth - especially in places where existing jobs/industries might be fading. Climate friendly organizations would likely support as well. Fossil fuel-based aviation fuel producers.
Have you spoken with any legislators about your proposed solution? If so, what was their response?	No.
What are the financial implications of this <i>problem</i> to your county?	The direct cost to the county itself is minimal. Likewise, there are few direct county cost implications to the solution.
Are there any financial implications to this solution either?	
What are the financial implications of this <i>problem</i> to any other impacted parties? What are the financial implications of this <i>solution</i> to any other impacted parties?	For practical purposes, SAF is generally cost prohibitive for airlines and general aviation users. As a result, potential producers are not encouraged to install production facilities, or even explore the feasibility of SAF in many cases. For those who do use SAF, it remains wildly expensive, and ships from very long distances. The largest financial implication of the solution could be the reduction in tax revenue to the State.

relevant Colorado State	
Departments.	
Staff Feedback	The primary obstacle will be cost and fiscal impact. A \$1.50-per-gallon credit, extended over more than a decade, could amount to tens of millions in foregone revenue depending on uptake. In a budget environment already constrained by structural deficits and TABOR limitations, legislators will be very reluctant to create a long-term, high-dollar tax credit that reduces General Fund flexibility.
	<u>Time Commitment:</u> This proposal would require a significant investment of time and advocacy. Proponents would need to educate legislators on the benefits of SAF, build credibility around climate and economic development arguments, and defend against fiscal critiques in both committee and budget debates. The long credit window (2027–2038) further complicates messaging, as legislators often resist locking the state into extended financial commitments.