

## 2026 Legislative Priority Proposal Updated: 8/25/25

## Land Use & Natural Resources

County Enforcement Authority – Ordinances, Zoning, Building, Pest and Weed Control  Morgan County (Commissioner Kelvin Bernhardt)		
Co-Sponsoring	Grand County (Commissioner Merrit Linke)	
Counties/Commissioners:		
Who is your subject matter expert?	<ul> <li>Kathryn Sellars, Morgan County Attorney. 720-376-6528. kms@hpcwlaw.com</li> <li>Maxine LaBarre-Krostue, Grand County Attorney. 970-725-3045. mlabarre@co.grand.co.us</li> <li>Shira Cohen, Assistant County Attorney (Grand). 970-725-3045. scohen@co.grand.co.us</li> </ul>	
Has this proposal been	Yes	
approved by your BoCC?		
Have you reviewed the	Yes	
CCI Instructional Memo?	Enforcement of County ordinances and zoning and building regulations is	
Describe the problem your proposal will solve.	split between the jurisdictions of District and County courts. Generally, if counties want injunctive relief for these violations, they must seek that relief in District Court. If counties want to seek civil penalties, they must seek that relief in County Court. As such, enforcement is not streamlined or efficient, it results in a significant decrease in the capacity to enforce these regulations despite the county community's overwhelming desire to see these issues addressed.	
	In addition, the civil infraction process to prosecute violations of these provisions, and the provisions in the pest and noxious weed statutes, conflicts with the Rules of Civil Infractions.	
	Civil penalties are not available for ordinance violations, and pest and noxious weeds, but should be an avenue for enforcement.	
	Civil penalties for violations of building and zoning regulations (\$100 a day) are woefully inadequate to serve as a detriment or motivator for violators. Counties track far behind municipalities in the amount of fines	

	that may be sought (compare to municipal fines in a court of record that can be up to \$2650 or \$300 for a municipal court which is not of record). Civil infraction penalties (\$100 a day) for violations of zoning and building regulations track behind civil infraction penalties for ordinance violations (up to \$1000), even though these violations are all traditionally considered nuisances.
Areas of Impact:	Day-to-day operations of the county; Functionality of county programs or services; Power/Authority/Mandate of county government; General community advancement.
What is the ultimate source of this problem?	Poor legislative drafting, likely brought on by a lack of understanding of court procedure/jurisdiction and abatement processes. Failure to update statutes to allow for more effective enforcement.
What is your initial proposal to solve this problem?	Legislation is the only means to solve this issue.
Please provide sample language for this solution.	View sample language here.
Are there any solutions that do not require state-level legislation? Has your county explored these alternatives?	No, only the legislature can fix these issues.
Has CCI or any other organizations sought a solution to this problem before?	Not to our knowledge.
What possible organization(s) would <i>support</i> your proposed solution?	We believe there will be wide support for these changes among county governments.
What possible organization(s) would <b>oppose</b> your proposed solution?	We cannot identify any organization that would oppose this solution.
Have you spoken with any legislators about your proposed solution? If so, what was their response?	Yes, they want to see how the CCI process turns out.

What are the financial	It costs counties more time (and fees for counties that do not have in-
implications of	house counsel) to enforce their regulations under the current law when
this <b>problem</b> to your	their citizens are demanding that action be taken.
county?	
	There are no financial implications to the solution.
Are there any financial	
implications to	
this <b>solution</b> either?	
What are the financial	There are no financial implications of the problem to any other impacted
implications of	parties. There should not be any financial implications of the solution to
this <b>problem</b> to any	any other impacted parties.
other impacted parties?	
What are the financial	
implications of	
this <b>solution</b> to any	
other impacted parties?	
Please consider any	
relevant Colorado State	
Departments.	