

## 2026 Legislative Priority Proposals Updated 7/11/25

Proposition 123 Reform: AMI Flexibility for Affordable Homeownership in Rural and Resort Communities Archuleta County (Warren Brown)		
Co-Sponsoring	La Plata County, Commissioner Matt Salka	
Counties/Commissioners:		
Who is your subject matter	Emily Lashbrooke, Executive Director for Pagosa Springs Community Development Corp. (970)-264-3023,	
expert?	Emily@pagosaspringscdc.org	
Has this proposal been	Yes	
approved by your BoCC?		
Have you reviewed the CCI	Yes	
Instructional Memo?		
Describe the problem your	The statutory 100% Area Median Income (AMI) cap for Proposition 123's Affordable Homeownership	
proposal will solve.	Program prevents effective deployment of funds in rural and resort communities with high construction	
	costs and low AMI benchmarks. Essential workers are being disqualified from workforce housing	
	opportunities by marginal AMI overagesas little as \$200 annually over the capdespite clear need and	
	inability to afford market-rate homes.	
Areas of Impact:	Functionality of county programs or services; Power/Authority/Mandate of county government; General	
	community advancement.	
What is the ultimate source of	Statutory inflexibility in Proposition 123 implementation; outdated one-size-fits-all income cap.	
this problem?		
What is your initial proposal to	Amend CRS 29-32-105.5 to allow rural and resort communities to petition for AMI eligibility flexibility in	
solve this problem?	Proposition 123-funded programs. This includes:	
	Authorizing municipalities, tribal governments, housing authorities, and nonprofits to petition for	
	higher AMI caps;	

	<ul> <li>Requiring justification based on housing needs assessments, local affordability gaps, and workforce housing patterns;</li> <li>Ensuring funding remains targeted toward affordability through deed restrictions and income-based pricing.</li> </ul>
Please provide sample language for this solution.	"Draft Amendment to HB23-1304
	SECTION X. In Colorado Revised Statutes, amend 29-32-105.5 as follows:
	29-32-105.5. Alternative eligibility for programs : local petition process : legislative declaration : definitions.
	(1) (a) The General Assembly finds and declares that: (I) The lack of affordable housing affects communities across Colorado, and Proposition 123 was approved by voters to address this issue statewide; (II) Income thresholds set by area median income (AMI) percentages may not always reflect local economic conditions, leading to unintended disqualifications for households narrowly exceeding the eligibility criteria; and (III) A formalized petition process should allow local governments, housing authorities, and other eligible entities to seek AMI flexibility when demonstrated housing and workforce needs justify such an adjustment.
	(b) It is the intent of the General Assembly that the petition process established in this section ensures that affordable housing funding remains accessible to communities experiencing affordability gaps while maintaining the program's commitment to serving lower-income households.
	(2) As used in this section, unless the context otherwise requires: (a) "Petition" means a formal request submitted by a local government, tribal government, or eligible housing entity to the division for an adjustment to AMI eligibility requirements. (b) "Eligible Entity" includes municipalities, counties, tribal governments, regional housing authorities, and nonprofit housing organizations designated to receive funding under this article.

(3) Notwithstanding the requirements set forth in Section 29-32-104(1), a local government, tribal government, or eligible entity may petition the Division of Housing to use adjusted percentages of AMI for determining eligibility for affordable housing programs, including but not limited to:
(a) Affordable Homeownership program (a) The land banking program; (b) The affordable housing equity program; and (c) Debt financing programs that are part of the concessionary debt program specified in Section 29-32-104(1)(c)(I) and (1)(c)(III).
(4) A petition submitted under this section must include: (a) A housing needs assessment conducted within the past six years, incorporating: (I) Data from the state demographer or other publicly accessible sources; (II) Local workforce commuting patterns and housing cost burden analysis; and (III) Justification for the requested AMI adjustment, including evidence that households marginally exceeding the current AMI cap face affordability challenges. (b) A statement from the governing body of the local government or tribal government supporting the petition; (c) An impact analysis outlining how the proposed AMI adjustment would improve housing accessibility while maintaining the program's affordability objectives.
(5) Upon receiving a petition, the Division shall: (a) Post notice of the petition on its website and establish a public comment period of no less than 30 days; (b) Evaluate the petition based on the submitted housing needs assessment, workforce conditions, and affordability trends; (c) Approve or deny the petition within 60 days, with written justification for its decision.
(6) If a petition is approved, the Division shall establish an AMI adjustment, which may: (a) Allow households earning up to a percentage of AMI that meets the needs of the petitioning jurisdiction to qualify for for-sale affordable housing, provided that the household's total monthly housing cost does not exceed 35% of gross income; (b) Provide temporary AMI flexibility for a period of up to five years, subject to review and renewal; and (c) Require periodic reporting from the petitioner on the impact of the adjusted AMI eligibility on housing accessibility and affordability in the region.
(7) Approval of a petition under this section does not exempt projects from requirements ensuring affordability, including long-term deed restrictions and income-based pricing models.

Are there any solutions that do	No, unfortunately this must be addressed through legislation.
not require state-level	
legislation? Has your county	Yes. Local subsidy efforts remain insufficient under current AMI limits and restrictions.
explored these alternatives?	
Has CCI or any other	Not formally to date.
organizations sought a solution	
to this problem before?	
What possible organization(s)	Colorado Counties Inc. (CCI)
would <b>support</b> your proposed	CAST Housing Task Force
solution?	Club 20
	Region 9 Economic Development
	Archuleta County
	La Plata County
	Montezuma County
	Summit County
	Colorado Council of Churches
What possible organization(s)	Path 2 Zero
would <b>oppose</b> your proposed	
solution?	
Have you spoken with any	Senator Cleave Simpson is willing to submit a bill to formally introduce into the legislative process, and he
legislators about your proposed	indicated that Senator Mark Baisley will also be on board. Rep. Katie Stewart has indicated to La Plata
solution? If so, what was their	County Commissioner Matt Salka that she would be interested in supporting the bill as well.
response?	
What are the financial	Allows for more effective deployment of state dollars toward workforce housing, reducing local subsidy
implications of this <b>problem</b> to	burdens. Greater flexibility in AMI thresholds will allow the community to retain its essential workforce
your county?	such as teachers, healthcare workers, and service industry employeesby increasing access to attainable
	homeownership. Without this flexibility, the county risks losing these workers to more affordable regions,
Are there any financial	which would strain local services, reduce economic stability, and increase long-term costs related to
implications to	recruitment, training, and turnover.
this <b>solution</b> either?	

What are the financial	State: Better utilization of homeownership funds.
implications of this <b>problem</b> to	Communities: Improved housing access for essential workers.
any other impacted parties?	Developers: Enhanced viability for workforce housing projects.
What are the financial	
implications of this <b>solution</b> to	
any other impacted parties?	
Please consider any relevant	
Colorado State Departments.	