

2026 Legislative Priority Proposal Updated: 7/18/25

Protection for Elected Officials from Political Violence		
Arapahoe County (Commissioner Jessica Campbell)		
Preferred Contact:	jcampbell@arapahoegov.com	
Co-Sponsoring	N/A	
Counties/Commissioners:		
Who is your subject matter	N/A	
expert?		
Has this proposal been	Yes.	
approved by your BoCC?		
Have you reviewed the CCI	Yes.	
Instructional Memo?		
Describe the problem your	Violent threats and violence against elected officials have been on the rise for almost a decade. In part, this	
proposal will solve.	can be attributed to an increase in the violent language about opponents used by candidates for and holders	
	of elected office. Such rhetoric and violence create a chilling effect in dissent, in the number of people willing	
	to run for office, and ultimately the quality of our democracy.	
Areas of Impact:	Government efficacy and elected officials' safety.	
What is the ultimate source of	Nonexistent statute.	
this problem?		
What is your initial proposal to	In recognition of the power and unique position of those who run for and hold elected office, the enhanced	
solve this problem?	credence and deference given to their words, and the chilling effect violent outcomes from violent rhetoric	
	have on our democracy, we propose Colorado deter such individuals from using language that threatens,	
	supports, and/or encourages such behaviors by criminalizing the public communication of such violence.	

	Specifically, we propose modifying existing statute to make it a criminal offense for candidates for, holders of, and former holders of elected office to publicly—be it printed, recorded, or in live public delivery—use language that threatens, supports, or encourages any form of violence against candidates for, holders of, and former holders of elected office.
Please provide sample language for this solution.	C.R.S § 1-13-726 Amendment: Revise title and add new section that states: "It is unlawful for candidates for, holders of, and former holders of elected office to publicly—be it printed, recorded, or in live public delivery—use language that threatens, supports, or encourages any form of violence against candidates for, holders of, and former holders of elected office for performing their official duties. Each such offense is a class 1 misdemeanor."
Are there any solutions that do not require state-level legislation? Has your county explored these alternatives?	No. However, in Colorado elected officials can legally have their personal information redacted from certain public records to improve personal safety. For example, the Colorado Secretary of State temporarily shut down the state's campaign finance database in the wake of the Minnesota assassinations to better ensure legislator safety. Since then, 40-55 Colorado officials have submitted requests to have their personal information removed from the state database before it's turned back on.
Has CCI or any other organizations sought a solution to this problem before?	To our knowledge, neither CCI, nor other organizations have sought a solution to this problem.
What possible organization(s) would support your proposed solution?	We think this is an issue that should have broad local elected official support (i.e. CML, SDA, CCAT). As well as support from democracy-centered, good-governance interest groups such as ACLU and the Bell Policy Center.
What possible organization(s) would oppose your proposed solution?	Potentially, First Amendment rights groups.
Have you spoken with any legislators about your proposed solution? If so, what was their response?	We have not.
What are the financial implications of this <i>problem</i> to your county?	Language that threatens, supports, or encourages violence from elected officials toward other elected officials doesn't have any direct financial implications on Arapahoe County. Neither does making that language unlawful have any financial implications on Arapahoe County.

Are there any financial	
implications to	
this solution either?	
What are the financial	Language that threatens, supports, or encourages violence from elected officials toward other elected
implications of this <i>problem</i> to	officials doesn't have any direct financial implications on other impacted parties. Using <u>HB22-1273</u> , making
any other impacted parties?	such language unlawful could result in increases in workloads for local governments and the Justice
	Department with minimal financial implications.
What are the financial	
implications of this solution to	
any other impacted parties?	
Please consider any relevant	
Colorado State Departments.	