

| STATE | GOVERNOR SHARING CARES FUNDING? | STATE OVERALL TOTAL FUNDING (GEOGRAPHY) | TOTAL LOCAL GOVT. DIRECT FROM U.S. TREASURY | LOCAL DIRECT % of STATE TOTAL | U.S. TREASURY PAYMENT TO STATE GOVT. | STATE DOLLARS ALLOCATED TO LOCAL GOVTS | STATE % SHARE TO LOCALS (BELOW 500K)* | COMBINED TOTAL % for ALL LOCAL GOVTS | COUNTY/CITY SPLIT | STATE LEGISLATION OR POLICY TO FORCE URBAN COUNTIES TO SUBALLOCATE TO OTHER LOCALITIES | ADDITIONAL NOTES |
|-------------|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|
| Alabama | Some Funding | \$1,901,262,159.90 | \$114,915,910.00 | 6.04% | \$1,786,346,249.90 | \$250,000,000.00 | 14.00% | 19.19% | Yes | | \$250 million allocated by enacted Senate Bill 161 for reimbursement to counties and cities, split equally. Provides \$300,000 in base eligibility for each county commission and allocates remaining county share based on population |
| Alaska | Full 45% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$562,500,000.00 | 45.00% | 45.00% | Yes | | |
| Arizona | Some Funding | \$2,822,399,971.50 | \$965,412,263.50 | 34.21% | \$1,856,987,708.00 | \$441,000,000.00 | 23.75% | 49.83% | Yes | | Provides \$441 million for counties, cities and towns that did not receive direct CARE payments, plus separate \$150 million to assist with cash flow while locals and other entities await official FEMA public assistance reimbursement |
| Arkansas | Some Funding | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$150,000,000.00 | 12.00% | 12.00% | Yes | | Arkansas CARES Act Steering Committee reportedly approved \$150M for local governments. Includes assistance with FEMA local match, COVID related expenses, eligible payroll costs due to COVID. |
| California | Full 45% | \$15,321,284,928.40 | \$5,795,720,184.80 | 37.83% | \$9,525,564,743.60 | \$1,750,000,000.00 | 18.37% | 49.25% | Yes | Cities pushing, but no action | \$5.8 billion counties (\$4.5B fed direct & \$1.3B state sub allocation) / \$1.8 billion to cities |
| Colorado | Some Funding | \$2,233,011,164.20 | \$559,161,585.70 | 25.04% | \$1,673,849,578.50 | \$275,000,000.00 | 16.43% | 37.36% | Yes | | Pending recommendation for Local Govt. consortium with state DOLA agency |
| Connecticut | Some Funding | \$1,382,477,973.40 | | 0.00% | \$1,382,477,973.40 | \$75,000,000.00 | 5.43% | 5.43% | No counties in the state | | Governor established Connecticut Municipal Coronavirus Relief Fund Program to reimburse all 169 municipal govts. Initial amount of \$75M, with potential for additional resources based on need |
| Delaware | Uncommitted | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$322,766,668.80 | 25.82% | \$927,233,331.20 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 25.82% | | | |

| STATE | GOVERNOR SHARING CARES FUNDING? | STATE OVERALL TOTAL FUNDING (GEOGRAPHY) | TOTAL LOCAL GOVT. DIRECT FROM U.S. TREASURY | LOCAL DIRECT % of STATE TOTAL | U.S. TREASURY PAYMENT TO STATE GOVT. | STATE DOLLARS ALLOCATED TO LOCAL GOVTS | STATE % SHARE TO LOCALS (BELOW 500K)* | COMBINED TOTAL % for ALL LOCAL GOVTS | COUNTY/CITY SPLIT | STATE LEGISLATION OR POLICY TO FORCE URBAN COUNTIES TO SUBALLOCATE TO OTHER LOCALITIES | ADDITIONAL NOTES |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Florida | Full 45% | \$8,328,221,072.10 | \$2,472,413,692.30 | 29.69% | \$5,855,807,379.80 | \$1,275,000,000.00 | 21.77% | 45.00% | Yes, county discretion | | Up to \$1.275 billion to counties with populations below 500,000 with a phased approach. 25% upfront, followed by reimbursement basis for eligible expenditures. State encourages counties to share with municipalities based on federal eligibility of use. |
| Georgia | Pending | \$4,117,018,751.10 | \$614,147,421.20 | 14.92% | \$3,502,871,329.90 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 14.92% | | | Counties and cities are now talking with Governor about ideas |
| Hawaii | Pending | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$387,176,021.20 | 30.97% | \$862,823,978.80 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 30.97% | | | No final decision; Senate bill would allot \$175,413,979 total to counties |
| Idaho | Some Funding | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | Governor deciding between 3-4 different plans, decision expected week of 5.18.20 |
| Illinois | Some Funding | \$4,913,633,437.00 | \$1,394,688,071.30 | 28.38% | \$3,518,945,365.70 | \$250,000,000.00 | 7.10% | 33.47% | | | Discussions ongoing with the governor's office |
| Indiana | Some Funding | \$2,610,489,556.60 | \$168,312,120.70 | 6.45% | \$2,442,177,435.90 | \$300,000,000.00 | 12.28% | 17.94% | Yes | | \$180 million for counties & \$120 million for cities and towns. Towns can apply through counties; no requirement for counties to share |
| Iowa | Funding Through Reimbursements | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$125,000,000.00 | 10.00% | 10.00% | Yes | | \$150M total for reimbursement process for cities and counties, based upon expenditures. State reserved additional funding to cover any match expenses for FEMA Public Assistance reimbursement needs |
| Kansas | Full 45% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$215,947,950.50 | 17.28% | \$1,034,052,049.50 | \$400,000,000.00 | 38.68% | 49.28% | No | | \$400M to counties for both reimbursement and direct aid for eligible expenditures under the CARES Act. First round of funding distributed to each county based on population, case rate and unemployment rates |
| Kentucky | Funding Through Reimbursements | \$1,732,387,747.50 | \$133,793,183.70 | 7.72% | \$1,598,594,563.80 | \$300,000,000.00 | 18.77% | 25.04% | Yes | | 50% (\$150 million) to counties and 50% (\$150 million) to cities. Additional reserve fund could be shared with locals in the future |
| Louisiana | Full 45% | \$1,802,619,342.60 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,802,619,342.60 | \$811,180,000.00 | 45.00% | 45.00% | | | |

| STATE | GOVERNOR SHARING CARES FUNDING? | STATE OVERALL TOTAL FUNDING (GEOGRAPHY) | TOTAL LOCAL GOVT. DIRECT FROM U.S. TREASURY | LOCAL DIRECT % of STATE TOTAL | U.S. TREASURY PAYMENT TO STATE GOVT. | STATE DOLLARS ALLOCATED TO LOCAL GOVTS | STATE % SHARE TO LOCALS (BELOW 500K)* | COMBINED TOTAL % for ALL LOCAL GOVTS | COUNTY/CITY SPLIT | STATE LEGISLATION OR POLICY TO FORCE URBAN COUNTIES TO SUBALLOCATE TO OTHER LOCALITIES | ADDITIONAL NOTES |
|---------------|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Maine | Uncommitted | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | |
| Maryland | Some Funding | \$2,344,276,753.70 | \$691,008,482.40 | 29.48% | \$1,653,268,271.30 | \$364,000,000.00 | 22.02% | 45.00% | | | UPDATED: Local funding is through counties, with discretion whether to share by program or by allocation to any municipalities within each county |
| Massachusetts | Some Funding | \$2,672,641,383.20 | \$211,799,088.90 | 7.92% | \$2,460,842,294.30 | \$502,000,000.00 | 20.40% | 26.71% | | Yes, state asking urban counties to send funds back to state | |
| Michigan | Some Funding | \$3,872,510,074.60 | \$791,820,529.60 | 20.45% | \$3,080,689,545.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 20.45% | | | Differences between Senate bill (\$523mil) and House bill (none) being resolved. Governor supports funding |
| Minnesota | Full 45% | \$2,186,827,320.80 | \$316,906,612.70 | 14.49% | \$1,869,920,708.10 | \$667,000,000.00 | 35.67% | 44.99% | Pending | Legislature considering mandating counties share direct CARES funds | Formula TBD |
| Mississippi | Some Funding | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$50,000,000.00 | 4.00% | 4.00% | | | Anticipated in \$25M - \$50M based on reimbursement and other needs. Still need to confirm details |
| Missouri | Some Funding | \$2,379,853,017.00 | \$296,151,104.10 | 12.44% | \$2,083,701,912.90 | \$500,000,000.00 | 24.00% | 33.45% | Yes, at county discretion | | 25% of state share to counties based on population. Counties may opt to share with municipalities |
| Montana | Funding Through Reimbursements | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | State is making available reimbursements to local govts for COVID-19 related expenses |
| Nebraska | Funding Through Reimbursements | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$166,134,257.90 | 13.29% | \$1,083,865,742.10 | \$100,000,000.00 | 9.23% | 21.29% | | | Provide reimbursement for PPE, isolation/quarantine sites, medical transportation, sanitizing public spaces and eligible staffing costs. Douglas Co. and localities within Douglas Co., except City of Omaha, are ineligible. These localities can apply to Douglas Co. In order for counties to receive funds, the County Courthouse and County Offices must be open for business to the public on a non-appointment basis by June 8, 2020 |

| STATE | GOVERNOR SHARING CARES FUNDING? | STATE OVERALL TOTAL FUNDING (GEOGRAPHY) | TOTAL LOCAL GOVT. DIRECT FROM U.S. TREASURY | LOCAL DIRECT % of STATE TOTAL | U.S. TREASURY PAYMENT TO STATE GOVT. | STATE DOLLARS ALLOCATED TO LOCAL GOVTS | STATE % SHARE TO LOCALS (BELOW 500K)* | COMBINED TOTAL % for ALL LOCAL GOVTS | COUNTY/CITY SPLIT | STATE LEGISLATION OR POLICY TO FORCE URBAN COUNTIES TO SUBALLOCATE TO OTHER LOCALITIES | ADDITIONAL NOTES |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Nevada | Some Funding | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$413,948,899.80 | 33.12% | \$836,051,100.20 | \$148,000,000.00 | 17.70% | 44.96% | Yes, partial except Clark Co expected to share direct allocation with locals | | \$148M for 16 counties and incorporated cities (excludes Clark Co and city of Las Vegas), based on per capita allocation |
| New Hampshire | Some Funding | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$40,000,000.00 | 3.20% | 3.20% | 20% to counties / 80% to other political subdivisions | | Office for Emergency Relief and Recovery created to handle and allocate state CARES funding |
| New Jersey | Uncommitted | \$3,444,163,690.30 | \$1,050,312,533.50 | 30.50% | \$2,393,851,156.80 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 30.50% | | | Governor has made no indication of sharing CARES funding |
| New Mexico | Pending | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$182,182,506.30 | 14.57% | \$1,067,817,493.70 | | 0.00% | 14.57% | | | No official announcement but state expected to provide some level of assistance to local governments |
| New York | Uncommitted | \$7,543,325,288.30 | \$2,407,700,435.20 | 31.92% | \$5,135,624,853.10 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 31.92% | | | Governor has no intention of sharing CARES funding |
| North Carolina | Some Funding | \$4,066,866,177.50 | \$481,475,001.30 | 11.84% | \$3,585,391,176.20 | \$300,000,000.00 | 8.37% | 19.22% | | | \$150 mil available to 97 counties below 500K & Co may share with cities. Additional \$150 mil held in reserve for local govts. to cover lost revenue, if eligible. \$250K base per county, plus 2019 pop. amount |
| North Dakota | Funding Through Reimbursements | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$25,000,000.00 | 2.00% | 2.00% | | | State asking for receipts and will submit to FEMA; anything not covered will be covered by state CARES money. No specific set-aside for local govts., except \$20 million for county public health |
| Ohio | Some Funding | \$4,532,572,911.90 | \$778,458,084.60 | 17.17% | \$3,754,114,827.30 | \$350,000,000.00 | 9.32% | 24.90% | | | Initial \$350 mil now, with potentially for \$800 mil more later. Waiting on state legislative action |
| Oklahoma | Funding Through Reimbursements | \$1,534,357,612.40 | \$275,284,792.70 | 17.94% | \$1,259,072,819.70 | | 0.00% | 17.94% | | | Funding through reimbursements, but exact amount not specified |

| STATE | GOVERNOR SHARING CARES FUNDING? | STATE OVERALL TOTAL FUNDING (GEOGRAPHY) | TOTAL LOCAL GOVT. DIRECT FROM U.S. TREASURY | LOCAL DIRECT % of STATE TOTAL | U.S. TREASURY PAYMENT TO STATE GOVT. | STATE DOLLARS ALLOCATED TO LOCAL GOVTS | STATE % SHARE TO LOCALS (BELOW 500K)* | COMBINED TOTAL % for ALL LOCAL GOVTS | COUNTY/CITY SPLIT | STATE LEGISLATION OR POLICY TO FORCE URBAN COUNTIES TO SUBALLOCATE TO OTHER LOCALITIES | ADDITIONAL NOTES |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|
| Oregon | Funding Through Reimbursements | \$1,635,472,403.80 | \$246,965,566.70 | 15.10% | \$1,388,506,837.10 | \$400,000,000.00 | 28.81% | 39.56% | Yes | | \$400 million split: \$200 million for reimbursements for March 1-May 15 expenses. The second \$200 million for May 15-Dec 31 expenses. Limited for public health only and no economic relief |
| Pennsylvania | Some Funding | \$4,964,107,464.10 | \$1,028,938,100.70 | 20.73% | \$3,935,169,363.40 | \$625,000,000.00 | 15.88% | 33.32% | No | | County Block Grant being sent to 60 counties based on population who did not get direct \$ from Treasury, with minimum \$1 million per county; Appears no allocation for cities/townships/boroughs |
| Rhode Island | unknown | \$1,250,000,000.00 | | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 0.00% | No counties in the state | | |
| South Carolina | Uncommitted | \$1,996,468,642.30 | \$91,354,041.70 | 4.58% | \$1,905,114,600.60 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 4.58% | | | Governor and state legislature both supportive, likely for reimbursements; legislature won't be in to approve until June |
| South Dakota | Funding Through Reimbursements | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | Governor committed to finding ways to reimburse counties and municipalities for eligible expenses |
| Tennessee | Uncommitted | \$2,648,084,889.60 | \$284,651,015.30 | 10.75% | \$2,363,433,874.30 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 10.75% | | | Prior to CARES ACT, state appropriated \$200M in non-reoccurring state money with restrictions for local governments is anticipated, with funding distributed to counties based on population with a minimum amount per county, Note that \$200M is NOT CARES ACT money |
| Texas | Full 45% | \$11,243,461,410.70 | \$3,205,147,119.80 | 28.51% | \$8,038,314,290.90 | \$1,850,000,000.00 | 23.01% | 44.96% | Yes | State encouraging cities in direct allocation counties to apply through the county | First allocation of funds on a \$55/capita allotment, with 20% of each county's allotment available immediately. County population based on unincorporated areas (federal model) |
| Utah | Full 45% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$315,234,323.10 | 25.22% | \$934,765,676.90 | \$246,000,000.00 | 26.32% | 44.90% | | | To be sent in three rounds; Salt Lake and Utah Counties will share money with cities in their jurisdictions |
| Vermont | Uncommitted | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 0.00% | | Very limited county government functions | |

| STATE | GOVERNOR SHARING CARES FUNDING? | STATE OVERALL TOTAL FUNDING (GEOGRAPHY) | TOTAL LOCAL GOVT. DIRECT FROM U.S. TREASURY | LOCAL DIRECT % of STATE TOTAL | U.S. TREASURY PAYMENT TO STATE GOVT. | STATE DOLLARS ALLOCATED TO LOCAL GOVTS | STATE % SHARE TO LOCALS (BELOW 500K)* | COMBINED TOTAL % for ALL LOCAL GOVTS | COUNTY/CITY SPLIT | STATE LEGISLATION OR POLICY TO FORCE URBAN COUNTIES TO SUBALLOCATE TO OTHER LOCALITIES | ADDITIONAL NOTES |
|---------------|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Virginia | Full 45% | \$3,309,738,321.00 | \$200,235,484.90 | 6.05% | \$3,109,502,836.10 | \$1,289,146,766.00 | 41.46% | 45.00% | Yes | | Two batches: \$650 mil now and \$650 mil in reserves for counties and cities. Towns apply through counties |
| Washington | Some Funding | \$2,952,755,792.90 | \$785,676,481.70 | 26.61% | \$2,167,079,311.20 | \$297,000,000.00 | 13.71% | 36.67% | Yes | | |
| West Virginia | Funding Through Reimbursements | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | State created an application portal for CARES Act reimbursement funding for cities and counties. No set dollar amount for local govts. |
| Wisconsin | Some Funding | \$2,257,710,741.60 | \$260,415,955.80 | 11.53% | \$1,997,294,785.80 | \$90,000,000.00 | 4.51% | 15.52% | | | NEED TO DOUBLE CHECK / CONFIRM: In addition to direct amounts for counties above 500K, state will allocate \$90 million to counties based on a per capita basis (including Dane and Milwaukee counties) or reimbursement of COVID-19 related costs. Counties apply and show expenses, followed by state reimbursement |
| Wyoming | Funding Through Reimbursements | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | \$1,250,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | State Loan and Investment Board announced rules and application process for county reimbursements, with no set dollar allocation |