

# MEMORANDUM



## JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE

TO Members of the Joint Budget Committee  
FROM Robin J. Smart, JBC Staff (303-866-4955)  
DATE March 15, 2017  
SUBJECT LLS No. 17-0974, Concerning child welfare licensed out-of-home placement provider rates

---

This memorandum provides information about bill draft LLS No. 17-0974, Concerning child welfare licensed out-of-home placement provider rates:

### **RATE SETTING METHODOLOGY**

- This bill concerns the development of a rate-setting methodology for licensed out of home placement providers.
- Title IV-E of the Social Security Act requires the state to have a rate setting methodology.
- Section 26-5-104 (6) (d), C.R.S., currently requires that the Department of Human Services to convene a group of stakeholders to review the methodology by which counties negotiate rates, services, and outcomes with providers by July 1 of each even-numbered year.
- According to the Department and other stakeholders, since 2010 a rate setting methodology has not been developed, and while JBC staff believes that some methodology might be used, JBC staff has been unable to determine what it is and there is no specific description of a methodology in rule.
- Because the group identified in the statute has yet to develop a methodology, JBC staff asked the drafter to change the bill draft to read that the Department will hire a third party vendor to gather the necessary information and develop the rate setting methodology. This results in the need for an appropriation of \$300,000 General Fund.

### **FUNDING FOR CONTRACTED LICENSED OUT OF HOME PLACEMENT PROVIDERS**

- The bill defines “licensed out-of-home placement providers” as “a licensed residential child care facility, a child placement agency, or a secure residential treatment center, as defined in Section 26-6-102, C.R.S.”
- Although some counties would prefer to refer to these organizations a “vendors,” the legal term for them has always been “providers.” JBC staff will continue to refer to them as “providers.”
- Provider organizations are typically non-public organizations that have the opportunity to fund-raise to make up the shortfall resulting from county rates. While JBC staff does not believe that county rates should cover the full cost of operating one of these organizations, JBC staff and stakeholders agree that some contracted rates with providers may not be at the level they should be (see the report required by S.B. 16-201).
- Based on information from Child Placement Agencies and Residential Child Care Facilities for FY 2014-15, JBC staff estimates that county contracts reimbursed less than 89.0 percent of services provided (excluding treatment costs paid by Medicaid and school districts). In FY 2015-16, total out of home care expenses were \$85,463,584. If the reimbursement remained at 89.0 percent, reimbursement from counties was approximately \$75,874,570, leaving \$9,589,014 to be covered by the provider.
- In the past years, five licensed providers have closed their doors. The importance of the above information is simply that if providers are unable to continue to operate, the \$9.6 million that is

currently covered by the providers themselves will have to be covered by counties or the state. JBC staff is concerned that the actual cost to the counties and/or state will be higher, however, because providers report that their salaries are lower than those paid by counties or the state.

**ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS**

- Administrative costs associated with child welfare services have increased by 18.1 percent in the past five years; whereas services have decreased by 13.2 percent. These costs only reflect the allocations made to counties through the Child Welfare Block allocation. It does not include appropriations for new county level staff. If these administrative costs are factored in, the increase is over 20.0 percent. The table below reflects actual expenditures as reported in the County Financial Management System.

CATEGORY	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16
Administration	\$170,366,034.71	\$173,572,498.85	\$174,379,339.84	\$186,843,242.96	\$201,198,708.12
Services	154,515,376.72	146,997,835.22	137,271,666.47	134,015,887.74	134,112,955.44
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$324,881,411.43</b>	<b>\$320,570,334.07</b>	<b>\$311,651,006.31</b>	<b>\$320,859,130.70</b>	<b>\$335,311,663.56</b>

\*Services include Children's Habilitation Residential Program, Residential Mental Health, Case Services, Out of Home, Child Welfare Related Child Care, Subadopt, and Relative Guardianship

**COUNTY ALLOCATIONS**

- The Long Bill contains three line items that fund county block allocations:

LINE ITEMS THAT FUND COUNTY BLOCK GRANTS FY 2017-18 RECOMMENDED APPROPRIATION					
LINE ITEM	TOTAL FUNDS	GENERAL FUND	CASH FUNDS	REAPPROP. FUNDS	FEDERAL FUNDS
Child Welfare Services	\$350,945,409	\$185,114,591	\$65,171,137	\$15,197,702	\$85,461,979
County Level Child Welfare Staffing	\$15,073,709	\$13,522,566	\$1,525,636	\$0	\$25,507
Family and Children's Programs	\$54,003,032	\$45,449,550	\$5,645,945	\$0	\$2,907,537
<b>TOTAL APPROPRIATION TO 3 LINE ITEMS</b>	<b>\$420,022,150</b>	<b>\$244,086,707</b>	<b>\$72,342,718</b>	<b>\$15,197,702</b>	<b>\$88,395,023</b>

- When a provider rate adjustment is approved by the General Assembly, it is applied to the full amount appropriated in all three line items, regardless of its use (i.e. county staffing costs, direct services and associated contracts, adoption costs, other administrative or support functions that support child welfare services, etc.). **For example, a 1.0 percent provider rate increase results in an increased appropriation of \$4,200,221 total funds, including \$2,440,867 General Fund, in line items that fund block allocations to counties.**
- Counties that use the "base anchor rate" identified in Trails automatically pass a provider rate adjustment through to providers. Counties that do not use the "base anchor rate" may or may not pass the provider rate adjustment through to providers, because currently the entire contract rate is negotiated. During stakeholders meetings concerning this bill draft, some counties admitted using the provider rate increases to balance the budget.
- A county may approve salary increases for county employees in years in which the General Assembly has not approved a provider rate increase. In addition, a county allocation may be reduced in a year in which the General Assembly does approve an increase, and even if the county employee salaries remain stable, the pot of money used for provider contracts may also be smaller than the previous year. This has the effect of reducing the pot of money available for

contracts with providers. However, JBC staff believes that this should be addressed in the allocation formula.

- Counties are not specifically identified as providers in statute, however appropriations that fund county staff receive provider rate adjustments.

#### **STAKEHOLDER CONCERNS**

- JBC staff held two large stakeholder meetings totaling approximately five hours, and also had several small meetings with the Department, providers, and counties.
- Based on the meetings, JBC staff is aware that no stakeholder group will be entirely satisfied with this bill draft. However, JBC staff believes that the bill draft in its current form attempts to address some of the bigger issues affecting the child welfare system as a whole.
- The Department has not yet taken a position on the bill draft.

#### **SUGGESTED CHANGES NOT REFLECTED IN THE BILL DRAFT**

- Include language specifying that a supplemental will be submitted by the Department to address increased costs for Child Welfare Services that result from the new methodology.
- Use the phrase “EVALUATE AND NEGOTIATE” as opposed to just “negotiate”
  - Page 2, line 2 of summary, after “counties” insert “EVALUATE AND”
  - Page 3, line 11, after “may” insert “EVALUATE AND”
  - Page 3, line 20, after “counties” insert “EVALUATE AND”
  - Page 4, line 8, after “counties” insert “EVALUATE AND”
- Add language that connects the daily rate with the methodology, for example:

Page 6, line 9, after “determined.” add “THE METHODOLOGY MUST UTILIZE THE DAILY RATE.”

- Page , line 11 strike “June” and replace with “JULY”

First Regular Session  
Seventy-first General Assembly  
STATE OF COLORADO

DRAFT  
3.13.17

DRAFT

LLS NO. 17-0974.01 Jane Ritter x4342

COMMITTEE BILL

Joint Budget Committee

**BILL TOPIC: "Child Welfare Provider Rates"**

**A BILL FOR AN ACT**

101 CONCERNING CHILD WELFARE LICENSED OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT  
102 PROVIDER RATES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, MAKING AN  
103 APPROPRIATION.

**Bill Summary**

*(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at <http://leg.colorado.gov/>.)*

**Joint Budget Committee.** The bill sets forth guidelines for the establishment of provider rates for licensed out-of-home placement providers (providers). Rules adopted by the department of human services (department) concerning provider rates shall include cost-of-living adjustments and provider rate increases approved by the general

*Capital letters indicate new material to be added to existing statute.  
Dashes through the words indicate deletions from existing statute.*

assembly.

The department is directed to continue completing an annual review of the methodology by which counties negotiate provider rates and outcomes and submit a report to the joint budget committee. As part of the continuing review, the department shall contract with an independent vendor to:

- Perform a salary survey and study related to the delivery of child welfare services. The study must include salary surveys for providers; child protection employees; residential child care facility employees; and state and county employees involved with the provision of child welfare services.
- Perform an actuarial analysis of actual vendor costs to do business in the context of current service demands; and
- Develop a rate-setting methodology for provider compensation using the salary survey and actuarial analysis. In developing the rate-setting methodology, the independent vendor shall solicit input from representatives of the state department, counties, the provider community, and the department of health care policy and financing. The rate-setting methodology must clearly include a process by which the full amount of any provider rate adjustments to the base rate or previously contracted rate approved by the general assembly are included as part of any final contract with a provider.

The department shall provide the joint budget committee with a report defining the new rate-setting methodology on or before April 2, 2018.

The new rate-setting methodology must be implemented on or before June 1, 2018, except for those rates that must be approved by the federal centers for medicare and medicaid services.

---

1 *Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:*

2           **SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 26-5-104, **amend** (6);  
3 and **add** (7) and (8) as follows:

4           **26-5-104. Funding of child welfare services - rules - report**  
5 **-provider contracts - funding mechanism review - definitions.**

6 (6) **County negotiations with providers.** (a) Subject to rules  
7 promulgated by the state department pursuant to ~~paragraph (b) of this~~

1 ~~subsection (6)~~ SUBSECTION (6)(b) OF THIS SECTION, a county shall be IS  
2 authorized to negotiate rates, services, and outcomes with LICENSED  
3 OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT providers if the county has a request for  
4 proposal process in effect for soliciting bids from LICENSED OUT-OF-HOME  
5 PLACEMENT providers or another mechanism for evaluating the rates,  
6 services, and outcomes that it is negotiating with such LICENSED  
7 OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT providers that is acceptable to the state  
8 department.

9 (b) ~~No later than~~ ON OR BEFORE January 1, 2008, AND AS  
10 NECESSARY THEREAFTER, the state department shall promulgate rules  
11 governing the methodology by which counties may negotiate rates,  
12 services, and outcomes with licensed OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT  
13 providers.

14 (c) ~~A county that negotiates or renegotiates rates, services, and~~  
15 ~~outcomes pursuant to paragraph (a) of this subsection (6) shall include as~~  
16 ~~part of such negotiations or renegotiations cost of living adjustments and~~  
17 ~~provider rate increases approved by the general assembly.~~

18 (d) By July 1, 2008, and by July 1 of each even-numbered year  
19 thereafter, the state department shall complete a review of the  
20 methodology by which counties negotiate rates, services, and outcomes  
21 with licensed OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT providers. The methodology  
22 used is governed by rules promulgated by the state department pursuant  
23 to ~~paragraph (b) of this subsection (6)~~ SUBSECTION (6)(b) OF THIS  
24 SECTION. In preparing for and conducting the review, the state department  
25 shall convene a group of persons representing the directors of county  
26 departments of human or social services and the LICENSED OUT-OF-HOME  
27 PLACEMENT provider community. ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 1 OF EACH

1 FISCAL YEAR, THE GROUP SHALL SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE JOINT BUDGET  
2 COMMITTEE DETAILING ANY CHANGES TO THE RATE-SETTING  
3 METHODOLOGY THAT RESULTS FROM THE REVIEW CONDUCTED PURSUANT  
4 TO THIS SUBSECTION (6)(d).

5 (e) On or before ~~August 1, 2016~~ SEPTEMBER 29, 2017, as a  
6 continuation of the review conducted pursuant to ~~paragraph (d) of this~~  
7 ~~subsection (6)~~ SUBSECTION (6)(d) OF THIS SECTION of the methodology by  
8 which counties negotiate rates, services, and outcomes with licensed  
9 OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT providers, the state department shall ~~convene~~  
10 ~~a group of representatives from the state department, counties, the~~  
11 ~~provider community, and the joint budget committee to review the~~  
12 ~~rate-setting process for provider compensation. On or before December~~  
13 ~~15, 2016, the group shall provide the joint budget committee and the child~~  
14 ~~welfare allocations committee with a report that recommends whether any~~  
15 ~~changes to the rate-setting process for provider compensation are~~  
16 ~~advisable and, if so, the recommended process or methodology. The~~  
17 ~~group is not required to recommend changes to the current rate-setting~~  
18 ~~process if it determines that the current rate-setting process is the~~  
19 ~~preferable option.~~ CONTRACT WITH AN INDEPENDENT VENDOR TO:

20 (I) PERFORM A SALARY SURVEY RELATED TO THE DELIVERY OF  
21 CHILD WELFARE SERVICES. WHEN POSSIBLE, THE ENTITY MUST NOT  
22 DUPLICATE EXISTING EFFORTS THAT COLLECT PUBLIC EMPLOYEE SALARY  
23 INFORMATION BUT MUST INSTEAD INCORPORATE EXISTING INFORMATION  
24 INTO THE OVERALL ANALYSIS. THE SURVEY MUST INFORM THE  
25 DEVELOPMENT OF THE RATE-SETTING METHODOLOGY PURSUANT TO  
26 SUBSECTION (6)(e)(III) OF THIS SECTION AND MUST ACCOUNT FOR THE  
27 FUNCTIONS, RESPONSIBILITIES, QUALIFICATIONS, AND OTHER RELEVANT

1 INFORMATION FOR EACH POSITION. THE STUDY MUST ALSO GUARANTEE  
2 THAT AVAILABLE INFORMATION IS GATHERED FROM A DIVERSE RANGE OF  
3 GEOGRAPHICAL LOCATIONS THROUGHOUT COLORADO, INCLUDING URBAN,  
4 SUBURBAN, RURAL, AND MOUNTAIN RESORT COMMUNITIES. THE STUDY  
5 MUST INCLUDE SALARY SURVEYS FOR:

6 (A) LICENSED OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT PROVIDERS WHO HAVE  
7 A CONTRACT WITH THE STATE DEPARTMENT OR A COUNTY;

8 (B) CHILD PROTECTION AGENCY EMPLOYEES;

9 (C) RESIDENTIAL CHILD CARE FACILITY EMPLOYEES;

10 (D) STATE EMPLOYEES INVOLVED WITH THE PROVISION OF CHILD  
11 WELFARE SERVICES; AND

12 (E) COUNTY EMPLOYEES INVOLVED WITH THE PROVISION OF CHILD  
13 WELFARE SERVICES.

14 (II) PERFORM AN ACTUARIAL ANALYSIS OF ACTUAL VENDOR COSTS  
15 TO DO BUSINESS IN THE CONTEXT OF CURRENT SERVICE DEMANDS,  
16 INCLUDING SALARY COMPARISONS BETWEEN LICENSED OUT-OF-HOME  
17 PLACEMENT PROVIDER CATEGORIES AND OVERHEAD AND ADMINISTRATIVE  
18 COSTS. THE ANALYSIS MUST INFORM THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE  
19 RATE-SETTING METHODOLOGY PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (6)(e)(III) OF  
20 THIS SECTION AND MUST ALSO GUARANTEE THAT AVAILABLE  
21 INFORMATION IS GATHERED FROM A DIVERSE RANGE OF GEOGRAPHICAL  
22 LOCATIONS THROUGHOUT COLORADO, INCLUDING URBAN, SUBURBAN,  
23 RURAL, AND MOUNTAIN RESORT COMMUNITIES.

24 (III) DEVELOP THE RATE-SETTING METHODOLOGY FOR LICENSED  
25 OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT PROVIDER COMPENSATION. THE INDEPENDENT  
26 VENDOR SHALL SOLICIT INPUT FROM REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE STATE  
27 DEPARTMENT, COUNTIES, THE LICENSED OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT



1 PROVIDER COMMUNITY, AND THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE POLICY  
2 AND FINANCING. THE METHODOLOGY MUST BE BASED ON EQUAL  
3 REPRESENTATION BY COUNTIES AND LICENSED OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT  
4 PROVIDERS.

5 (f) ON OR BEFORE APRIL 2, 2018, THE STATE DEPARTMENT SHALL  
6 PROVIDE THE JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE WITH A REPORT DEFINING THE  
7 RATE-SETTING METHODOLOGY DEVELOPED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION  
8 (6)(e)(III) OF THIS SECTION, INCLUDING THE PROCESS THROUGH WHICH  
9 THE DAILY RATE WAS DETERMINED.

10 (g) THE METHODOLOGY MUST BE IMPLEMENTED ON OR BEFORE  
11 JUNE 1, 2018, EXCEPT FOR THOSE RATES THAT MUST BE APPROVED BY  
12 CMS. RATES THAT MUST BE APPROVED BY CMS MUST BE IMPLEMENTED  
13 UPON APPROVAL. IN THE EVENT THAT THE REPRESENTATIVES IDENTIFIED  
14 IN SUBSECTION (6)(e) OF THIS SECTION DO NOT AGREE ON THE  
15 RATE-SETTING METHODOLOGY ON OR BEFORE FEBRUARY 1, 2018, THE  
16 STATE DEPARTMENT, THE COUNTY REPRESENTATIVES, AND THE LICENSED  
17 OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT PROVIDERS SHALL SUBMIT ALTERNATIVES TO  
18 THE JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE. THE JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE SHALL  
19 THEN SELECT A METHODOLOGY PRIOR TO THE START OF THE SUCCEEDING  
20 STATE FISCAL YEAR.

21 (h) THE RATE-SETTING METHODOLOGY DEVELOPED PURSUANT TO  
22 SUBSECTION (6)(e)(III) OF THIS SECTION MUST CLEARLY INCLUDE A  
23 PROCESS THROUGH WHICH PROVIDER RATE ADJUSTMENTS, INCLUDING ANY  
24 COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENT, THAT ARE APPROVED BY THE GENERAL  
25 ASSEMBLY MUST BE FACTORED INTO ESTABLISHING THE DAILY RATE AND  
26 A PROCESS THROUGH WHICH OUTCOMES RELATED TO THE STABILITY AND  
27 WELL-BEING OF THE CHILD ARE FACTORED INTO ESTABLISHING THE DAILY

1 RATE CONTRACT WITH A LICENSED OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT PROVIDER.

2 (7) ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 1, 2018, AND ON OR BEFORE  
3 SEPTEMBER 1 OF EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, THE STATE  
4 DEPARTMENT, WITH INPUT FROM COUNTIES, SHALL SUBMIT TO THE JOINT  
5 BUDGET COMMITTEE A REPORT INCLUDING INFORMATION ON WORKLOAD  
6 INCREASES OR DECREASES FOR THE PRECEDING CALENDAR YEAR AND THE  
7 COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH SUCH CHANGES. THE STATE DEPARTMENT IS  
8 ENCOURAGED TO INCLUDE IN THE REPORT DATA ON THE COST OF SERVING  
9 CHILDREN PLACED IN THE CARE OF LICENSED OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT  
10 PROVIDERS BASED ON CASE ACUITY.

11 (8) FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, UNLESS THE CONTEXT  
12 OTHERWISE REQUIRES:

13 (a) "ACUITY" MEANS THE LEVEL OF SERVICE NEEDED BY THE CHILD  
14 OR FAMILY.

15 (b) "CMS" MEANS THE FEDERAL CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND  
16 MEDICAID SERVICES IN THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND  
17 HUMAN SERVICES.

18 (c) "LICENSED OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT PROVIDER" MEANS A  
19 LICENSED RESIDENTIAL CHILD CARE FACILITY, A CHILD PLACEMENT  
20 AGENCY, OR A SECURE RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER, AS DEFINED IN  
21 SECTION 26-6-102.

22 (d) "WORKLOAD" MEANS THE NUMBER OF CHILD WELFARE CHILD  
23 ABUSE AND NEGLECT HOTLINE CALLS, REFERRALS, ASSESSMENTS, OPEN  
24 CASES, OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENTS, NEW ADOPTIONS, AND ADOPTION  
25 SUBSIDIES BEING HANDLED BY A COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN OR  
26 SOCIAL SERVICES.

27 **Section 2. Appropriation.** For the 2017-18 state fiscal year,

1 \$300,000 is appropriated to the department of human services for use by  
2 the division of child welfare. This appropriation is from the general fund.  
3 To implement this act, the division may use this appropriation for the  
4 development of the child welfare rate-setting methodology.

5 **SECTION 3. Safety clause.** The general assembly hereby finds,  
6 determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate  
7 preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.